

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
Sur-Surrebuttal Testimony of Christopher W. Larson
Gas and Energy Division

Wisconsin Energy Corporation
Docket 9400-YO-100

March 11, 2015

1 Q. Please state your name.

2 A. My name is Christopher W. Larson.

3 Q. Did you also provide direct and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What is the purpose of your sur-surrebuttal testimony?

6 A. The purpose of my sur-surrebuttal testimony is to respond to rebuttal testimony of
7 Wisconsin Energy Corporation (WEC) witness Scott Lauber, regarding a limited rate
8 case reopener for both the electric and gas utilities the 2016 test year for Wisconsin
9 Public Service Corporation (WPSC) (Item 93 in Ex.-WEC-Lauber-4).

10 Q. What specific testimony of Mr. Lauber do you wish to respond to?

11 A. On page 5 of his surrebuttal testimony, Mr. Lauber states that WEC noted that there is
12 insufficient information to evaluate this item at this time and that Commission staff did
13 not respond in rebuttal testimony.

14 Q. What additional comments do you have?

15 A. Commission staff has recently had discussions with WPSC about whether its 2016
16 test-year revenue requirement review will be a full rate case or a limited review, and what
17 issues would be included in a limited review. I believe that those discussions can lead to
18 their own conclusion without the Commission needing to decide them as part of this
19 docket.

1 One exception, however, would be synergy savings. It would be useful for the
2 Commission to decide in this docket what amount of synergy savings, if any, to include
3 in WPSC's 2016 revenue requirement. Considerable effort has been expended putting
4 information on the record regarding estimated transition costs and synergy savings, and I
5 doubt that any better information could be developed in time for inclusion in a 2016
6 test-year rate case.

7 Q. Does that conclude your sur-surrebuttal testimony?

8 A. Yes.

CWL:jlt:DL: 00959508